

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION

John Henry Gambrell, Jr., #65420,

Plaintiff,

v.

James Metts; Trinity Food Service;
Lexington County; Lexington County
Detention Center; Kitchen,

Defendants.

C/A NO. 2:10-1969-CMC-RSC

OPINION and ORDER

DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON, SC

2010 SEP -3 P 3:14

RECEIVED IN FEDERAL OFFICE

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Robert S. Carr for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On August 12, 2010, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Defendants Lexington County, Lexington County Detention Center, and "Kitchen" be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo*

determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”) (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, and the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the Report and its conclusions. Therefore, the court adopts and incorporates the Report by reference in this order.

Defendants Lexington County, Lexington County Detention Center, and “Kitchen” are dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

This matter is returned to the Magistrate Judge for further pretrial proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina
September 3, 2010

C:\Documents and Settings\Guest\Local Settings\Temp\notesE1EF34\10-1969 Gambrell v. Metts no obj adopt rr dismiss 2 dfts.wpd